## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

Case:3:21-cr-20033
Judge: Cleland, Robert H.

MJ: Stafford, Elizabeth A. Filed: 01-27-2021 At 01:31 PM

INDI USA V DEMARCO RITTER (LG)

-vs-

D-1 DEMARCO RITTER,

Defendant.

## **INDICTMENT**

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

## **COUNT ONE**

(21 U.S.C. §841(a)(1), §846 – Possession With the Intent to Distribute a Controlled Substance (Attempt)

On or about August 12, 2020, in the Eastern District of Michigan, defendant DEMARCO RITTER, did knowingly attempt to possess with the intent to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1).

Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, §841(b)(1)(C), it is further alleged that this violation involved the following controlled substance: a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of

4

N-phenyl-N-[1-(2-phenylethyl] propanimede, commonly known as fentanyl, a Schedule I controlled substance.

## FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS

(21 U.S.C. § 853, and 28 U.S.C. § 2461)

The allegations contained in this Indictment are incorporated by reference herein to allege forfeiture pursuant to the provisions of Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(d), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

Title 21 Offense. Upon conviction of a Title 21 Offense charged in this Indictment, the Defendant shall forfeit to the United States: (a) any property constituting, or derived from, any proceeds which the Defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as the result of such violation, and (b) any property which the Defendant used or intended to be used in any manner or part to commit or to facilitate the commission of such violation, and/or any property involved in such violation.

Substitute Assets. Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code,
Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section
982(b), Defendant shall forfeit substitute property, up to the value of

the property described above, if, by any act or omission of the defendant, the property described above cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; has been transferred, sold to or deposited with a third party; has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court; has been substantially diminished in value; or has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty.

THIS IS A TRUE BILL.

s/ Grand Jury Foreperson
Grand Jury Foreperson

Dated: January 27, 2021

MATTHEW SCHNEIDER United States Attorney

JULIE A. BECK Chief, Drug Task Force Unit

s/ John N. O'Brien II
John N. O'Brien II
Assistant United States Attorney

United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case Co	Judge: Cle	MJ: Stafford, Elizabeth A. Filed: 01-27-2021 At 01:31 PM INDLUSA V DEMARCO RITTER (LC)	
NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S.	Attorney signing this form to con	Filed: 01-2		
objemichi sessomenneomico	iù-	Companion Case N	Number:	
This may be a companion case based upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4)1:		Judge Assigned:		
☐ Yes ⊠ No		AUSA's Initials:		
Case Title: USA v. Demarco Ritter				
County where offense occurred : Wayne				
Check One: ⊠Felony ✓ □Misdemeanor □Petty				
Indictment/Info	* 6/20008/24	rior complaint [Cas	e number: ] lete Superseding section below].	
Superseding to Case No:		Judge:		
Involves, for plea purpos	onal charges or defendan es, different charges or ac matter but adds the additi	dds counts.	charges below:	
Defendant name	Char	ges P	rior Complaint (if applicable)	
Please take notice that the below	listed Assistant Units	nd States Attorn	ov is the attorney of record for	
the above captioned case.	iistea Assistant Unite	States Attorne	ey is the attorney of record for	

John M.O Brien II Assistant United States Attorney 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001

Detroit, MI 48226-3277

Phone: (313) 226-9715 Fax: (313) 226-5464

E-Mail address: John.OBrien@usdoj.gov Attorney Bar #: P39912

Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.